# Just out of curiosity, you may like to try the following **experiment** in the sociology of physics.

**START** BY ASKING



### Can anyone in your local

## PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

tell you where to FIND the DATA to complete the interior region of this graph concerning the basics of gravity?



**NO**, because the experiment needed to fill in the missing data has not yet been done.

Why doesn't someone in the local Physics Department **DO** the experiment? That is, why don't they build and operate a Small Low-Energy Non-Collider?

#### "We already know how to complete the graph for this experiment without actually **DOING** the experiment."



AN APPROPRIATE RESPONSE WOULD BE

YOU WILL FIND THE

FOLLOW-UP QUESTION

STUDIES HAVE SHOWN

THAT THE MAJORITY

OF PHYSICISTS WILL

**RESPOND SOMETHING** 

ANSWER TO BE

THE OBVIOUS

BECOMES

LIKE THIS



**A:** 

Q:

**A:** 

Isn't that *CHEATING* on the empirical ideals of science? Isn't *GUESSING* by extrapolation an unacceptable substitute for real physical data?

In the sequel, be especially alert for behavior related to: evasion, appeal to popular beliefs or authorities, condescension, arrogance, selfimage, group-image, defensiveness, excuses concerning funding, apathy, equivocation, and thinly-veiled embarrassment

The rarest, and so far unobtained response, is that the queried physicist candidly *echoes your curiosity* about the physical question at hand. What exactly happens to the falling test mass? If you get a response to the effect: *"Hey! Yeah, it looks like we've missed a spot. We've never actually OBSERVED what happens. Let's take care of that right away. Small Low-Energy Non-Collider ... the sooner the better!"* then you'll have hit the jackpot. You may then celebrate with exuberant joy and anticipation at the prospect of at last filling a large outstanding gap in our empirical knowledge of gravity

## GOOD LUCK!

GravitationLab.com • rjbenish@comcast.net